

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Boaden
Given Name	Tim
Person ID	1286735
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Boaden
Given Name	Tim
Person ID	1286735
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Bearing in mind that the Elton Reservoir area is a key site of natural ecological habitats and natural recreation, it is the vast scale of 3,500 new households proposed that is really excessive - and the substantial additional infrastructure required to support such a new population close to the existing town centre and residential housing. How will the extra traffic be successfully managed without impact to surrounding areas, and the cost?</p> <p>It is already a serious problem that local councils like Bury cannot maintain existing vital services because of central government cuts? A tenth, around 350 new households would be more appropriate and realistic.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	A new housing proposal for far less numbers, e.g. for 350 new households, which would have far less environmental impact, social and health quality impact and cost.
Family Name	Boaden
Given Name	Tim
Person ID	1286735
Title	JPA 8: Seedfield
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The allocation proposal for a new estate of 140 households on the "Seedfield Site" does not cite specific details of relevant local factors or give commitment to ensuring they are effectively addressed.</p> <p>1. Parkinson Street has for years been a problem caused by the amount of traffic travelling to and from the Seedfield Centre when it was being used for various purposes on top of the long-established community service activities of both St. John's Church Hall and Seedfield Bowling Club - both of which rely on Parkinson Street for parking.</p> <p>The emergency services have on occasions damaged wing mirrors etc in trying to squeeze through vehicles parked on both sides. This summer even with the discontinued use of the Seedfield Site, visiting motorists have parked outside residents houses in Seedfield Road because Parkinson Street was full.</p> <p>Residents of 140 new homes would need to go out to work, shop, transport children to school and other activities, keep appointments etc. so the traffic would be much increased.</p> <p>2. Education access: local primary schools are already full; there are children in our street not able to access places in their local schools because there are not enough places, so they have to travel some distance away. With 140 new households presumably including families with children, extra time travelling and cost and fuel usage, and not able to be with their own community circle of friends would be the result.</p> <p>3. The GMCA plan talks of the importance of physical activity and sport, leisure and recreational space for physical and mental health and well-being as well as developing sports skills. The Seedfield Site currently has playing fields on Greenbelt land, and this would be lost by the allocation proposal.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Sound modification was discussed some years ago with Bury's Traffic Manager who produced a map of 3 options of SEPARATE EGRESS from the Seedfield Centre. This would allow one way IN (via the current entrance) and a separate road OUT into Arley Avenue and the vehicles could decide to go in either direction from there.</p> <p>The map was shown to local ward councillors.</p> <p>The separate entrance and exit would solve the traffic congestion problem, and also mean a healthier traffic flow in terms of air quality.</p> <p>2. For schools, guaranteed financial help to enable them to expand if that is possible.</p> <p>3. Either a smaller allocation of household numbers to allow some playing field area to continue; or the provision of an alternative suitable site for recreational/sports purposes close by.</p>